1. Working group name:

Operations - Production/ Manufacturing

2. Individual sponsor(s):

Alex Woodley Jennifer Lazovich Jacob Ward

3. Describe the recommendation:

Allow the coexistence of Marijuana production, cultivation, dispensary & distribution establishments within the same facility. Also, allow the coexistence of both recreational and medical marijuana establishments within the same facility.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 – Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, nonconsumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 4 – Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome

Guiding Principle 6 – Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, state and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

The Requirements Concerning Operation of Marijuana Establishments, specifically as it relates to the provision covering allowed locations.

NRS 453A.350 relates to the Location, land use, appearance, signage and change of locations.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

- Minimizes safety and security risks associated with constant transport of product and money
- Allows local jurisdictions to apply zoning and code ordinances
- Allows for business to operate more efficiently thereby reducing costs to consumers
- Reduces capital costs of building the facilities thereby reducing costs to consumers
- Reduces the amount of time and effort required for inspections and audits
- Reduces the footprint of Marijuana establishments throughout the given jurisdiction (i.e. 4 locations instead of possibly up to 16 locations)

Working Group Presentation to the Task Force Form

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

- 8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?
 - 1) Legislative changes in the Nevada Revised Statute and possibly the Nevada Administrative Code (NRS 453A.350) may be required to allow for shared use of facility types (cultivation, production, dispensary, distribution).
 - SB 329 is currently before the legislature and provides the following as part of its submittal, which will allow for shared facility types:

Sec. 49. NRS 453A.350 is hereby amended to read as follows:

453A.350 1. Each medical marijuana establishment must:

- (a) Be located in a [separate building or facility that is located in a] commercial or industrial zone or overlay;
- (b) Comply with all local ordinances and rules pertaining to 44 zoning, land use and signage;
- Provide language if SB 329 does not pass:

Each medical marijuana establishment must: (a) Be located in a separate building or facility as approved by the local jurisdiction that and is located in a commercial or industrial zone or overlay as approved by the local jurisdiction;

- 2) The permanent regulations supporting recreational marijuana should include wording to allow for the production, manufacture, distribution, and dispensing of both medical and recreational marijuana from the same facility.
 - A marijuana establishment may cultivate, manufacture, distribute, and sell to the public both medical marijuana and recreational marijuana according to the establishment's license type.
- 9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc).

None